

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/608,705 06/30/2000		Chee H. Chew	40062.63US01	2254
	590 10/31/2003 C & GOULD PC	EXAMINER KE, PENG		
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	9
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		T			
•	Application No.				
Advisory Action	09/608,705	CHEW ET AL.			
·	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Peng Ke	2174			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover she t with the (correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 10 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dhave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extermination of the shortenes of the shortenes of the shortenes of the checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Ivisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c)	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	f to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	S:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-23.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:	S	Moustine Kincaid KRISTINE KINCAID UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100			

Continuation She t (PTOL-303) 09/60&,705

Application No.

1L

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In claim 1, 17, 19, and 23 "adjusting an interval of time associated with a current user session of the application in proportion of the frequence of interaction with the application" has not be been presented before.

As per claim 8, the scope of the claim has been broadened.